

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/715,040	11/17/2003		Ying Su	8858	
759	90	01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
Dianyi Yu	Dogg		THANH, LOAN H		
222 Black Birch Pass Lilburn, GA 30047				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3763	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,040	SU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National :	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	D-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

An electronic IDS appears to have been filed in the application on 11/17/03 and recorded in PALM. Applicant is to verify that this is indeed correct and submit a copy of this to the office along with the confirmed postcard receipt since it has not been scanned into the system for the Examiner to consider.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See page 1, under the OTHER REFERENCES heading. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the externally barrel-shape spray nozzle and an internally threaded douche nozzle (claim 2), the annular groove with a floating O-ring (claim 3), the three versions of the coupling (claim 4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. It appears that the thread of the barrel shape is internal and not external. See figure 2. It appears that the terminology may have been reversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,040

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: "[T]he said" should be replaced with "the or "said" since it appears redundant. Appropriate correction is required. Applicant should also change the preamble to "A shower head device comprising ..." in claim 1. Claims 2-5 should be consistent with claim 1 and recite "The shower head device of claim 1 further comprising ..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the annular recessed cylindrical wall" in line 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant should replace "the" with "a".

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the spray nozzle" in 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant should replace with "the" with "a".

Claims 1-5 are indefinite because it is unclear whether applicant is claiming a method or a device. If applicant is intending to claim a method claim, there are no steps recited. Thus, the Examiner is interpreting the claims to be directed to a device.

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what "may alternatively comprise" is intending to claim. It is interpreted by the Examiner that those elements may or may not be present.

Claim 3 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what "may alternatively consist" is intending to claim. The "consist" language is considered to be closed-ending phrase. However, "may alternatively" language seems to confuse this. It is interpreted by the Examiner that those elements may or may not be present.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because it is dependent on 3 other claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gauthier (USPN 3,921,635).

Gauthier discloses a device comprising a spiral configuration 117 in an annular recessed cylindrical wall around a spray nozzle. The Examiner has interpreted the claims as best understood by the Examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter

The subject matter of claim 4 appear to be allowable if all the elements were positively recited but the claim language needs to be amended to reflect and positively claim the device. Applicant is recommended to contact the Examiner for an interview to clarify the claim language.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,040 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT 01/06/06